

the RECORD, the remarks of my dear friend and distinguished colleague, the gentleman from New York [Mr. SANRANGELO] under the heading of "Labor Fights for Justice," contains an inaccurate statement which I would like to call to his attention.

I note that he states the following: "The Southern States which have no minimum wages are Alabama" and others and he named the State of North Carolina. I would say to my friend in the spirit of correcting him, because I know he would like to be accurate, that he could not be more inaccurate than he was when he made the statement that my State of North Carolina does not have a minimum wage law.

He was equally in error when he made the statement in the next paragraph that North Carolina does not have a compulsory compensation law.

Reference to the General Statutes of North Carolina will indicate clearly to my good friend that he has made an error; and I am sure it was lapsus linguae instead of intentional.

AMENDING FEDERAL BOATING ACT OF 1958

Mr. BONNER. Mr. Speaker, I ask unanimous consent to take from the Speaker's table the bill (H.R. 8728) to amend the Federal Boating Act of 1958 to extend for an additional year the period when certain provisions of that act will take effect, with Senate amendments thereto, and concur in the Senate amendments:

The Clerk read the title of the bill.

The Clerk read the Senate amendments, as follows:

Strike out all after the enacting clause and insert: "That (a) paragraph (8) of subsection (c) of section 3 of the Federal Boating Act of 1958 is amended by striking out 'April 1, 1960,' and inserting in lieu thereof 'April 1, 1961.'"

"(b) Subsection (b) of section 11 of the Federal Boating Act of 1958 is amended by striking out 'April 1, 1960,' and inserting in lieu thereof 'April 1, 1961.'"

"(c) Section 12 of the Federal Boating Act of 1958 is amended by striking out 'April 1, 1960,' and inserting in lieu thereof 'April 1, 1961.'"

Amend the title so as to read: "A bill to amend the Federal Boating Act of 1958 to extend until April 1, 1961, the period when certain provisions of that Act will take effect."

The SPEAKER. Is there objection to the request of the gentleman from North Carolina?

There was no objection.

The Senate amendments were concurred in.

A motion to reconsider was laid on the table.

ADVISORY COMMISSION ON INTER-GOVERNMENTAL RELATIONS

Mr. FOUNTAIN. Mr. Speaker, I ask unanimous consent to take from the Speaker's desk the bill (H.R. 6904) to establish an Advisory Commission on Intergovernmental Relations, with Senate amendment thereto, disagree to the

Senate amendment, and agree to the conference requested by the Senate.

The Clerk read the title of the bill.

The SPEAKER. Is there objection to the request of the gentleman from North Carolina?

Mr. GROSS. Mr. Speaker, reserving the right to object, what happened in the other body in connection with the establishment of this new Commission?

Mr. FOUNTAIN. I will say to the gentleman from Iowa that the only material change made by the Senate is that of increasing the membership of the Commission from 25 to 27 by the addition of 2 county representatives.

Mr. GROSS. Of course, that tends to make it somewhat more expensive?

Mr. FOUNTAIN. Naturally, it would increase the cost to some extent.

Mr. GROSS. Were any increases made in connection with the staff that will be established in connection with the Commission?

Mr. FOUNTAIN. That is the only material change made in the bill.

Mr. GROSS. The addition of two members to the Commission. That is the only substantial change in the bill?

Mr. FOUNTAIN. That is right.

Mr. GROSS. Mr. Speaker, I opposed this bill when it was before the House. It is another waste of the taxpayers' money. I am not going to try to force a vote on the passage of the bill because the RECORD already shows my position, but I want the RECORD to show I am still opposed to this measure and the creation of another unnecessary and expensive Commission.

Mr. FOUNTAIN. The gentleman from Iowa so expressed himself very clearly during debate on this bill.

The SPEAKER. Is there objection to the request of the gentleman from North Carolina? [After a pause.] The Chair hears none and appoints the following conferees: Mr. FOUNTAIN, Mr. BROOKS of Louisiana, and Mrs. DWYER.

MRS. BARBARA MAY BOSWELL

Mr. WALTER. Mr. Speaker, I ask unanimous consent to take from the Speaker's desk the bill (H.R. 6884) for the relief of Mrs. Barbara May Boswell, with Senate amendment thereto and concur into the Senate amendment.

The Clerk read the title of the bill.

The Clerk read the Senate amendment, as follows:

Page 1, line 7, strike out all after "Act" down to and including "impose" in line 11.

The SPEAKER. Is there objection to the request of the gentleman from Pennsylvania?

There was no objection.

The Senate amendment was concurred in.

A motion to reconsider was laid on the table.

CHENG, FU SHENG, AND LIN, FU-MEI

Mr. WALTER. Mr. Speaker, I ask unanimous consent for the immediate consideration of House Resolution 384.

The SPEAKER. Is there objection to the request of the gentleman from Pennsylvania?

There being no objection, the Clerk read the House resolution, as follows: -

Resolved, That the House of Representatives does not approve the granting of permanent residence in the United States to the aliens hereinafter named in which cases the Attorney General has submitted reports to the Congress pursuant to section 6 of the Refugee Relief Act of 1953, as amended (67 Stat. 403; 68 Stat. 1044):

A-10491862, Cheng, Fu Sheng.

A-8922627, Lin, Fu-Mei.

The House resolution was agreed to and a motion to reconsider was laid on the table.

AMENDING THE ORGANIC ACT OF GUAM

Mr. ASPINALL. Mr. Speaker, I ask unanimous consent to take from the Speaker's table the bill (H.R. 4603) to amend the Organic Act of Guam for the purpose of permitting the government of Guam, with the consent of the legislature thereof, to be sued, with Senate amendment thereto and concur in the Senate amendment.

The Clerk read the title of the bill.

The Clerk read the Senate amendment, as follows:

Line 8, strike out "sued." and insert "sued upon contract entered into with respect to, or any tort committed incident to, the exercise by the government of Guam of any of its lawful power."

The SPEAKER. Is there objection to the request of the gentleman from Colorado?

There was no objection.

The Senate amendment was concurred in and a motion to reconsider was laid on the table.

AMENDING SECTION 1 OF THE ACT OF JUNE 14, 1926, AS AMENDED BY THE ACT OF JUNE 4, 1954 (68 STAT. 173; 43 U.S.C. 869)

Mr. ASPINALL submitted the following conference report and statement on the bill (S. 1436) to amend section 1 of the act of June 14, 1926, as amended by the act of June 4, 1954 (68 Stat. 173; 43 U.S.C. 869):

CONFERENCE REPORT (H. REPT. NO. 1177)

The committee of conference on the disagreeing votes of the two Houses on the amendments of the House to the bill (S. 1436) to amend section 1 of the act of June 14, 1926, as amended by the Act of June 4, 1954 (68 Stat. 173; 43 U.S.C. 869), having met, after full and free conference, have agreed to recommend and do recommend to their respective Houses as follows:

That the Senate recede from its disagreement to the amendment of the House to the text of the bill, and agree to the same with an amendment as follows: In lieu of the language inserted by the House amendment insert the following: "That subsection (b) of section 1 of the Act of June 14, 1926, as amended by the Act of June 4, 1954 (68 Stat. 173, 174; 43 U.S.C. 869), is further amended to read as follows:

"(b) Conveyances made in any one calendar year shall be limited as follows:

"(i) For recreational purposes:

"(A) To any State, for not more than three sites, six thousand four hundred acres in all, except that during each of the calendar years 1960, 1961 and 1962, conveyances